

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,068	SATO, KENJI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Josh Snider.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103 rejections of record

Claims discussed:

1-7

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed an amendment to the claims to put the case in condition for allowance. The examiner indicated that the claims would be allowable if amended to require that the first and second seed layers have grains growing in different directions. Mr. Snider indicated that he would submit a response to the outstanding office action and make the discussed amendment in order to overcome the prior art. .